# *Wolbachia:* master manipulators of invertebrate biology

## John H. Werren\*, Laura Baldo<sup>‡</sup> and Michael E. Clark\*

Abstract | *Wolbachia* are common intracellular bacteria that are found in arthropods and nematodes. These alphaproteobacteria endosymbionts are transmitted vertically through host eggs and alter host biology in diverse ways, including the induction of reproductive manipulations, such as feminization, parthenogenesis, male killing and sperm–egg incompatibility. They can also move horizontally across species boundaries, resulting in a widespread and global distribution in diverse invertebrate hosts. Here, we review the basic biology of *Wolbachia*, with emphasis on recent advances in our understanding of these fascinating endosymbionts.

#### Mutualism

A symbiotic relationship in which both partners benefit.

#### Commensalism

A symbiotic relationship in which neither partner benefits or is harmed.

#### Parasitism

A symbiotic relationship in which one partner benefits at the expense of the other.

#### Clade

A group of genetically related organisms that includes an ancestor and all of its descendants.

\*Biology Department, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, New York 14627, USA. \*Biology Department, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA. Correspondence to J.H.W. e-mail: werr@mail. rochester.edu doi:10.1038/nrmicro1969

Anton de Bary<sup>1</sup> originally defined symbiosis as the living together of dissimilar organisms. This definition encompasses a broad range of interactions, from mutualism (beneficial) to commensalism (neutral) and parasitism (harmful). These broad categories are actually a continuum, and shifts in symbiotic interactions along the continuum can occur during evolution and even between individual organisms under changing circumstances. Furthermore, symbiotic relationships can have a mixture of mutualistic, commensal and parasitic features<sup>2,3</sup>. To better understand the nature of microbial symbioses with eukaryotic hosts, it is useful to study microorganisms that participate in diverse symbiont-host interactions. Wolbachia are members of the order Rickettsiales, a diverse group of intracellular bacteria that comprises species with parasitic, mutualistic and commensal relationships with their hosts. The related genera Anaplasma, Ehrlichia and Rickettsia, typically have life cycles that include an invertebrate 'vector' and mammalian 'host', although strictly invertebrate-associated species are also found<sup>4</sup>. However, unlike members of these other genera, Wolbachia do not routinely infect vertebrates. Wolbachia have attracted considerable interest in the past decade primarily because of their vast abundance, fascinating effects on hosts, which range from reproductive manipulation to mutualism, and potential applications in pest and disease vector control<sup>5</sup>

The type species for the *Wolbachia* genus is *Wolbachia pipientis*, which was first described in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*<sup>6</sup>. Based on 16S ribosomal sequences and other sequence information, *Wolbachia* spp. have so far been divided into eight different supergroups (A–H)<sup>7</sup>, although further study is required to confirm the status of some of

these<sup>8</sup>. All *Wolbachia* supergroups are monophyletic compared with other Rickettsiales (FIG. 1). Two supergroups (C and D) are commonly found in filarial nematodes, whereas the other six supergroups are found primarily in arthropods, in which A and B are the most common.

Nematode-associated Wolbachia show a general concordance between the phylogeny of the bacteria and the phylogeny of their hosts, and all these Wolbachia have evolved mutualisms with their hosts. This pattern is also found with many other vertically inherited endosymbionts, such as Buchnera aphidicola, the obligate intracellular symbionts of aphids9. By contrast, Wolbachia that participate in symbiotic relationships with arthropods have a range of phenotypic effects on their hosts, and generally behave as reproductive parasites. There is no concordance between the phylogeny of arthropod Wolbachia and the phylogeny of their hosts, which is indicative of extensive lateral movement of Wolbachia between host species. Furthermore, resolving the relationships between strains is further complicated by extensive recombination, even among some supergroups<sup>8,10-13</sup>.

There has been debate in the *Wolbachia* field as to whether all bacteria within the *Wolbachia* clade should be given the *W. pipientis* designation or whether a different species nomenclature should be applied. Until this issue is resolved, the convention has been to refer to the bacteria as *Wolbachia*, with strain designations that are based on host and supergroup identification.

Until the early 1990s, *Wolbachia* were considered to be members of a rare and inconsequential bacteria genus. However, with the advent of molecular typing methods, *Wolbachia* were found to be widespread and common in insects, and subsequently also in other arthropods (for



Figure 1 | Phylogeny of Wolbachia. a | The phylogenetic relationships of Wolbachia relative to the closely related Rickettsiales order in the Anaplasmataceae family. b | An unrooted phylogenetic tree of the main supergroups of Wolbachia. Also shown are the dominant patterns of mutualism and reproductive parasitism across the supergroups. For some supergroups, functional effects of Wolbachia have not yet been determined. The G supergroup has been removed because its status is currently unclear<sup>8</sup>. The pattern suggests that the main supergroups of Wolbachia participate in either mutualism or reproductive parasitism. Rooting of the Wolbachia phylogeny, which could help resolve whether mutualism or reproductive parasitism is ancestral, is problematic owing to long-branch attraction to out-groups. Resolution requires genome-sequence information for additional taxa. Triangle size represents described diversity within each lineage. Circles represent a lineage based on a single Wolbachia strain. Part a reproduced from REF. 98. Part b reproduced, with permission, from REF. 99 © (2007) Society for General Microbiology.

example, mites, spiders, scorpions and isopods), as well as filarial nematodes. A recent meta-analysis estimated that >65% of insect species harbour Wolbachia<sup>13</sup>, making it among the most abundant intracellular bacteria genus so far discovered, infecting at least 106 insect species alone.

Together with their pandemic distribution, another interesting feature of Wolbachia is the various host manipulations they induce (FIG. 2). The effects of Wolbachia infection include: feminization of genetic males; parthenogenetic induction, which results in the development of unfertilized eggs; the killing of male progeny from infected females; and sperm-egg incompatibility, which is referred to as cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). Each of these reproductive alterations is adaptive for the bacterium by enhancing the production of infected females, and collectively, these strategies are referred to as reproductive parasitism. In addition to parasitism, Wolbachia have also evolved mutualistic interactions with their filarial hosts, and show a range of other host effects. Wolbachia are highly adapted for living within invertebrate cells, which probably partly explains their wide distribution. For example, they are known to use the spindle apparatus during cell division<sup>14</sup> and dynein and kinesin motors to shuttle within host cells<sup>15,16</sup>, which allows efficient transmission during cell division and within the germ cells.

Key questions that relate to Wolbachia include: what is their genetic architecture and what genetic tools do they possess; how do they alter the reproductive and cellular processes of their hosts; how are their infections maintained globally; do they accelerate host evolution; and can they be applied to pest and disease control? The focus of this Review will be on recent studies that are relevant to these questions.

### Wolbachia genomics and genetics

Two fully annotated Wolbachia genomes are now available: the CI-inducing wMel strain from the arthropod host Drosophila melanogaster and the mutualistic wBm strain from the filarial nematode host Brugia malayi<sup>17,18</sup>. Several other genomes that are representative of the phenotypic diversity of Wolbachia are currently undergoing sequencing or full annotation, whereas others have been partially assembled from invertebrate sequencing projects<sup>19</sup> (TABLE 1). Together with the recent completion of more than ten closely related Rickettsiales genomes, these data are providing valuable comparative support for investigating the evolution of these bacteria.

Wolbachia have small genomes (1.08-1.7 Mb) that are within the range of the Rickettsiales (0.8-2.1 Mb) and are in accordance with a reductive trend following host adaptation. However, Wolbachia genomes lack the typical minimal genome content and high stability that is observed in other obligate endosymbionts, such as species of Buchnera and Candidatus Blochmannia<sup>20</sup>. Unlike most Rickettsiales, Wolbachia genomes contain a high number of mobile and repetitive elements. Repeats make up more than 14% of the wMel genome, which in the Rickettsiales is second only to Orientia tsutsugamushi. A high number of these repeats are represented by ankyrin (ANK) domains, which are common among eukaryotes but unusual in bacteria, in which they mediate host-pathogen protein interactions<sup>17</sup>. Additional redundancy of the genome comes from extensive duplications of short open reading frames (ORFs) that have a predicted but unknown function (hypothetical proteins) and surface proteins; together these two classes of genes provide Wolbachia genomes with most of their genetic distinctiveness17.

## Meta-analysis results from separate. related studies.

A method for combining

Feminization A process in which a male acquires female characteristics.

# O FOCUS ON SYMBIOSIS



Figure 2 | **Wolbachia-induced phenotypes.** Wolbachia cause four distinct reproductive phenotypes in a range of arthropod orders (top). Feminization results in genetic males that develop as females (in the Hemiptera, Isopoda and Lepidoptera orders). Parthenogenesis induction eliminates males from reproduction (in the Acari, Hymenoptera and Thysanoptera orders). Male killing eliminates infected males to the advantage of surviving infected female siblings (in the Coleoptera, Diptera, Lepidoptera and Pseudoscorpiones orders). Cytoplasmic incompatibility prevents infected males from successfully mating with females that lack the same *Wolbachia* types (in the Acari, Coleoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Isopoda, Lepidoptera and Orthoptera orders). A cross section of a male filarial nematode, *Onchocerca ochengi*, that contains *Wolbachia* is shown (bottom left), in which *Wolbachia* are falsely coloured yellow and fill three of the four syncytial lateral cord cells. *Wolbachia* (yellow) are also shown within the ovaries of a female *Drosophila simulans* (bottom right). The image on the bottom left is courtesy of M. Taylor, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK. The image on the bottom right is courtesy of M. Clark, University of Rochester, New York, USA.

In addition to repetitive elements, a striking peculiarity of the *w*Mel genome compared with other Rickettsiales genomes is the presence of various virus-like elements (51 based on current annotation), including the lambda bacteriophage WO sequences. Homologous WO sequences have only been found in *Rickettsia bellii*, which implies either independent acquisition in both *w*Mel and *R. bellii* or massive loss of these elements in most Rickettsiales. In *Wolbachia*, some of these phage elements are actively transcribed and expressed through a lytic cycle<sup>21</sup>. Several ANK proteins have been found to be integrated in prophage segments, suggesting that bacteriophages have a key role in introducing and then spreading ANK genes within *Wolbachia*.

Overall, the presence or absence of repeats and mobile elements is not consistent with classification into parasitic or mutualistic strains among Rickettsiales. However, it is interesting that *Wolbachia* which participate in mutualistic interactions with nematodes have a lower number of repetitive elements and do not carry phage sequences<sup>18,22</sup>. Some evidence suggests that ANK and phage genes are involved in Wolbachia cellular interactions with their hosts. Indeed, although a direct correlation of the ANK and prophage genotype or gene content with the bacteria phenotype remains unclear, their expression profiles seem to be associated with the reproductive phenotypes. This indicates that both ANK and prophage genes are promising candidates for the study of reproductive manipulations<sup>23,24</sup>. Supporting this scenario, a recent study has shown that bacterial ANK repeat proteins in Legionella pneumophila are delivered into the eukaryotic cells by the type IV secretion system, where they target host factors that are important for the bacterial infections<sup>25</sup>. Other candidates for the study of Wolbachia-host interactions include three major surface proteins that have been identified in Wolbachia: Wsp and its two paralogues WspA and WspB. These proteins are homologous to outer-membrane proteins that have been shown to have antigenic function in important pathogenic bacteria (such as species of Ehrlichia and Neisseria).

Table 1   woldachia genome projects*						
Strain	Host	Supergroup	Phenotype <sup>‡</sup>	Genome size (Mb)	Status	Refs or project leaders
wMel	Drosophila melanogaster	A	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	1.27	Complete	94
wBm§	Brugia malayi	D	Mutualist	1.08	Complete	18
wMelPop	D. melanogaster	A	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	1.3	Assembled	S. O'Neill
wPip	Culex pipiens	В	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	1.48	Assembled	J. Parkhill and S. Sinkins
wRi	Drosophila simulans	А	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	1.44	Assembled	S. Andersson, R. Garrett and K. Bourtzis
wAna	Drosophila ananassae	А	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	Unknown	Unfinished	19
wSim	D. simulans	А	Cytoplasmic incompatibility presumed	Unknown	Unfinished	19
wAu	D. simulans	А	Not cytoplasmic incompatibility	Unknown	Unfinished	S. O'Neill
wWil	Drosophila willistoni	А	Unknown	Unknown	Unfinished	J. Craig Venter Institute
wVitA	Nasonia vitripennis	А	Cytoplasmic incompatibility	Unknown	In progress	J. Werren and S. Richards
wUni	Muscidifurax uniraptor	А	Parthogenesis	Unknown	In progress	S. Anderson and K. Bourtzis
wBol1	Hypolimnas bolina	В	Male killing	~1.6	In progress	A. Duplouy and S. O'Neill
wVul	Armadillidium vulgare	В	Feminization	~1.7	In progress	R. Garrett, P. Greve, D. Bouchon and K. Bourtzis
None designated	Diaphorina citri	В	Unknown	Unknown	In progress	W. Hunter, Y. Ping Duan, R. Shatters and D. Hall
wDim	Dirofilaria immitis	С	Mutualist	~1.0	In progress	C. Bandi and B. Slatko
wOv	Onchocerca volvulus	С	Mutualist	~1.1	In progress	M. Taylor, M. Blaxter and B. Slatko

\*Several Wolbachia genome projects have been completed or are underway. The goal is to compare genomic features among Wolbachia to provide insights into mechanisms of genome evolution and mechanisms of host alteration by Wolbachia. <sup>‡</sup>Genome sequences are becoming available for a range of phenotypic effects on hosts for most of the major supergroups. <sup>§</sup>The genome of the Wolbachia strain that was found in the filarial nematode *B. malayi* is being used to identify possible targets for pharmacological suppression of these Wolbachia and their pathogenic host (see Further information for a link to the <u>Anti-Wolbachia Project</u>).

Wsp has recently been shown to elicit an immunological response in vertebrate hosts that are infected with filarial nematodes which harbour *Wolbachia*<sup>26</sup>. The function of Wsp in arthropods is unknown.

#### Role of recombination and diversity

Considerable information on the genetic architecture and evolution of Wolbachia is now emerging from studies of strain diversity. The ambiguity concerning the nomenclature of Wolbachia has long been a major impediment for organizing and understanding strain diversity, owing to the vast number of insect species that can be infected and the fact that various distinct strains can be found in a single host species. In addition, the discovery of extensive recombination in Wolbachia has challenged traditional phylogenetic methods for characterizing strains and their relationships, and has therefore created a need for a standardized and unambiguous typing system. A multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme for Wolbachia has been recently developed (BOX 1) to provide a standard tool for typing and organizing strain diversity, and promises an unprecedented comparative dataset for studying Wolbachia diversity, ecology and evolution<sup>11</sup>.

Wolbachia possess remarkable genetic diversity: nucleotide divergence ranges from 6% to 9% at housekeeping genes (according to MLST<sup>11</sup>) and can be up to 30% in prophage ORF7 (REF. 27) and >43% at  $wsp^{28}$ . A key and unexpected finding is that Wolbachia undergo extensive recombination between strains, which affects various regions of the genome, including surface proteins (such as Wsp) and housekeeping and prophage genes, as well as intergenic regions<sup>12,28</sup>. The mechanisms and role of recombination in Wolbachia evolution are currently under investigation. As breakpoints are not necessarily coupled to gene boundaries, recombination in Wolbachia would occur, in part, as a random replacement of homologous sequences. Several theoretical and experimental studies have shown that recombination is a major force that can accelerate genetic and functional diversity and enable bacterial adaptation<sup>29</sup>. In Wolbachia, increased recombination is observed in the surface-protein gene wsp, and the Wsp protein is likely to mediate interactions with the host cell. Although recombination rates require further elucidation, recombination among Wolbachia is compatible with both the high genetic diversity in the genus and the genetic cohesiveness of Wolbachia strains with respect to more distant out-groups. Recombination

#### Box 1 | Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)

Wolbachia MLST (see Further information for a link to <u>Wolbachia MLST Databases</u>) uses five housekeeping genes (gatB, coxA, hcpA, ftsZ and fbpA) that are broadly distributed across the genome as a core set of markers for strain genotyping. Each strain is characterized by the allelic profile of the five alleles at the MLST loci, which defines its sequence type (ST). The MLST database contains both sequences and allelic profiles, and host and strain biological information. Each strain is assigned a unique identification that refers to its specific host taxonomical, geographical and biological information. The database is rapidly growing, and to date more than 200 strains have been characterized by MLST, with more than 140 distinct STs, reflecting the extensive diversity of *Wolbachia*. MLST promises to be an invaluable resource for studies of *Wolbachia* genetics, ecology and evolution. The *Wolbachia* surface protein (Wsp), which is divided into four hypervariable regions (HVRs), is used as an additional marker for genetic diversity, and a database has been implemented that allows typing of alleles and individual HVR peptides.

may have a role in moving the genetic machinery for different host manipulations between strains, although this has not been definitively established.

#### Phenotypic effects and host interactions

A key feature of *Wolbachia* is their ability to live within and manipulate cellular and reproductive processes in invertebrates. This no doubt reflects their long evolutionary history as intracellular bacteria. However, *Wolbachia* seem to be unusual in the suite of manipulations they employ. Only one other bacterial group, the genus *Candidatus* Cardinium (of the Bacteroidetes class), has been found to have a similarly diverse set of reproductive manipulations<sup>30</sup>. Here, we summarize some of the effects of *Wolbachia* infection.

*Cytoplasmic incompatibility.* CI is the most frequently found *Wolbachia*-induced phenotype and has been described in several arachnids, isopods and insect orders. Sperm from *Wolbachia*-infected males is incompatible with eggs from females that do not harbour the same *Wolbachia* type (or types). CI comprises two distinct components: *Wolbachia*-induced modification of sperm during spermatogenesis and rescue of this modification in embryos infected with the same strain. If the sperm is modified, but the appropriate *Wolbachia* are not present in the developing embryo, embryonic development is disrupted<sup>31</sup>.

The molecular mechanisms that underlie CI remain unknown, despite considerable work on the effect and various proposed mechanisms<sup>32</sup>. However, similar cytological manifestations of CI have been described in detail in several host taxa (FIG. 3). Common to each host taxon examined are defects in early embryonic mitosis owing to disruption of the cell cycle, which results in asynchronous development of male and female pronuclei<sup>33-35</sup>. The incompatible cross is due to the asynchrony of the male and female pronuclei at the initial stage of mitosis; the delay of male nuclear envelope breakdown and histone H3 phosphorylation (a histone modification that is required for the initiation of mitosis) indicates that the activity of Cdk1, a key kinase that drives the cell into mitosis, is delayed in the male pronucleus<sup>34</sup>. As a result, chromatids from the female pronuclei are properly condensed and lie at the first metaphase plate, but male pronuclear chromosomes are only in a semicondensed state. During anaphase, the female chromosomes separate normally, whereas the male pronuclei are either stretched to the centrosome poles or excluded entirely<sup>33,36</sup>. The easiest way to cytologically distinguish an incompatible cross is to look for chromatin bridges between the nuclei at anaphase. A result of the incompatible cross is often haploid development, which has been observed in flies, wasps and mosquitoes. In diploid organisms, this normally results in embryonic lethality, but in haplodiploids, haploidy can result in normal male development<sup>35</sup>.

Crossing data indicate that different Wolbachia strains can have different modification-rescue mechanisms<sup>31</sup>. Crosses between different strains can therefore sometimes result in bidirectional incompatibility, whereas crosses between infected males and uninfected females yield unidirectional incompatibility. A recent study<sup>37</sup>, in which Wolbachia from different Drosophila species were transferred into a common host genotype (Drosophila simulans), indicated that there is a complex relationship between the ability of one Wolbachia type to rescue the modification caused by another Wolbachia type. One Wolbachia type may either fully or partially rescue the modification caused by a different Wolbachia variant, or may not do so at all. The authors suggest that rather than only using a simple one-locus modification and rescue model, individual Wolbachia strains can have more than one modification-rescue type. There is also evidence to indicate that the genotype of the host can influence the level and form of CI, as at least one Wolbachia variant was unable to fully rescue the modification that it induced after transfer into a novel host species, which constituted a so-called 'suicide' infection37. However, despite extensive studies, the mechanism of CI remains unknown.

*Parthenogenesis induction.* Wolbachia-induced female parthenogenesis (thelytoky) is less common than CI, and so far has only been documented in species with arrhenotokous development (in which males develop from unfertilized eggs), such as mites, hymenopterans (for example, wasps) and thrips<sup>38-40</sup>. Instead of producing sons from unfertilized eggs, infected females produce daughters, which unlike males are able to transmit the bacteria to their offspring. Like CI, *Wolbachia*-induced parthenogenesis is caused by disruption of the cell cycle during early embryonic development, which results in diploid development in unfertilized eggs (thelytoky). In both *Trichogramma* sp. and *Leptopilina clavipes*, anaphase is abortive during the first embryonic division, resulting in one diploid nucleus rather than two haploid

#### Haplodiploid

A sex-determining mechanism that is found in some insect groups, in which males are haploid and females are diploid.

#### Parthenogenesis

An asexual form of reproduction that is found in females, in which growth and development of embryos occurs without fertilization by males.

# REVIEWS



Figure 3 | **The cytological basis of** *Wolbachia-***induced cytoplasmic incompatibility.** In a cytoplasmic incompatibility cross (middle column), asynchrony is observed in the development of paternal (blue) and maternal (pink) pronuclei at the first embryonic mitotic division. Breakdown of the nuclear envelope in the male pronuclei, as well as chromatin condensation, lags behind the female pronuclei. At metaphase, paternal chromosomes are not fully condensed, and at anaphase, paternal chromosomes do not properly segregate. Pronuclear synchrony and normal development is restored in an infected embryo (right column). Data from REF. 34.

nuclei<sup>41,42</sup>. In the wasp *Muscidifurax uniraptor*, the first mitotic division is complete and diploid females are produced after fusion of two cell nuclei<sup>43</sup>. Unlike the parthenogenesis that is observed in members of the order Hymenoptera, *Wolbachia*-induced parthenogenesis in the mite *Bryobia praetiosa* seems to be functionally apomictic by altering meiosis, resulting in diploid gametes<sup>39</sup>. In some cases, the infection is polymorphic within a species, and the chromosomal effects are suppressed when sperm fertilize eggs, whereas in others, the infection is fixed within the species, which results in *Wolbachia*-induced parthenogenetic species.

#### Heterogamety

The production of dissimilar gametes by an individual of one sex. For example, the production of X- and Y-bearing gametes by the human male.

*Feminization. Wolbachia*-induced feminization was first described in isopods, and was more recently described in insects, in which it occurs through different mechanisms. In several isopod species from the order Oniscidea,

*Wolbachia* have been shown to proliferate within the androgenic gland, leading to androgenic gland hypertrophy and inhibited function. Consequently, genetic males develop as females<sup>44</sup>.

In insects, feminization is currently known in two different host species, *Eurema hecabe* (from the Lepidoptera order) and *Zyginidia pullula* (from the Hemiptera order)<sup>45,46</sup>. The exact mechanism of feminization is currently unclear, although in *E. hecabe*, *Wolbachia* seem to interfere with the sex-determination pathway and must continuously act throughout development for complete feminization. Removal of *Wolbachia* during development results in intersexual development<sup>47</sup>. It has been postulated that feminizing *Wolbachia* can lead to the evolution of new sex-determination systems, such as shifts from female heterogamety to male heterogamety<sup>48</sup>, although conclusive evidence for this has not been shown. Male killing. Wolbachia-induced male killing has been described in four different arthropod orders: Coleoptera<sup>49</sup>, Diptera<sup>50</sup>, Lepidoptera<sup>51</sup> and Pseudoscorpiones<sup>52</sup>. In each described infection, Wolbachia killing of males occurs mainly during embryogenesis, which can result in more food for the surviving female progeny. Insight into the mechanism of male killing comes from the lepidopteran host Ostrinia scapulalis. The all-female broods found in Wolbachia-infected O. scapulalis were first described as the result of Wolbachia-induced feminization. When mothers were treated with tetracycline to remove Wolbachia, all-male broods were produced<sup>53</sup>. However, subsequent work has shown the effect to be male killing. In the absence of Wolbachia, genetic females die during larval development, whereas in the presence of Wolbachia, genetic males become feminized and die during larval development. Thus, Wolbachia-induced male killing seems to occur through lethal feminization<sup>54</sup>. It is still unclear at what level Wolbachia interfere with sex determination or how this might differ among different host taxa. Recent evidence indicates that some male killers can reach high frequencies, resulting in changes in host mating systems to accommodate the scarcity of males<sup>55</sup>. In addition, host suppressor genotypes to male killing can rapidly spread within infected populations<sup>56</sup>.

Multi-potent Wolbachia. Some Wolbachia strains can induce more than one phenotype. For example, a Wolbachia strain that naturally infects the lepidopteran host Cadra cautella normally causes CI, but when transferred into another lepidopteran host, Anagasta kuehniella, the same Wolbachia strain cause male killing<sup>57</sup>. In Drosophila bifasciata, Wolbachia also cause male killing, but those males that escape have low levels of CI58. Drosophila recens is naturally infected by Wolbachia, which induce CI, and when the same Wolbachia were introgressed into a sibling species, Drosophila subquinaria, male killing was immediately triggered<sup>59</sup>. Wolbachia have caused male killing in some populations of the butterfly Hypolimnas bolina, although a suppressor of male killing has recently become widespread, which has eliminated male killing by allowing Wolbachia-infected males with the suppressor allele to survive to adulthood. When mated to uninfected females, these surviving infected males induce CI<sup>56,60</sup>. In both *H. bolina* and *D. subquinaria*, it is clear that emergence of the second Wolbachiainduced phenotype is only expressed following release from host suppression. Furthermore, experiments that place different Wolbachia in the same host genetic background indicate that some contain multiple modification-rescue mechanisms<sup>37</sup>. Taken together, these studies suggest that some Wolbachia carry the machinery for inducing multiple phenotypes, some of which can be expressed only in the permissive host backgrounds in which they are not suppressed. It is currently unclear if these multi-potent Wolbachia have similar molecular mechanisms that cause different Wolbachia-induced phenotypes.

Other effects. Although not the focus of this Review, Wolbachia in nematodes do seem to be mutualistic<sup>61</sup>. The exact benefits of the bacteria to nematodes have not been determined, although antibiotic treatments seem to interfere with moulting of microfilaria and reproduction of mature worms, which is consistent with tissue distribution in the reproductive organs and subcutaneous integument. Inflammatory responses to filarial infections are stimulated by Wolbachia antigens, such as the surface protein Wsp, rather than by nematode proteins, and Wolbachia may have a role in the redirection of vertebrate immune responses. In an intriguing recent finding, Wolbachia infections were found in the tissues of dogs that were infected with heartworms, which were probably released after the death of larva or pre-adult worms<sup>62</sup>. It is unknown how long Wolbachia can persist, if at all, outside of their nematode host or if vertebrates can act as an intermediate host for Wolbachia.

An interesting possible case of mutualism has also been found in the parasitic wasp Asobara tabida, in which antibiotic curing of Wolbachia resulted in failure of the ovaries to properly develop<sup>63</sup>. However, related wasps can develop functional ovaries without Wolbachia, and therefore it seems unlikely that their requirement in A. tabida reflects a mutualistic relationship. A recent study indicates that Wolbachia downregulate apoptotic processes in the developing ovaries, and as a result, removal of the bacteria leads to the apoptotic death of ovarian cells<sup>64</sup>. The requirement of Wolbachia in A. tab*ida* probably reflects a genetic addiction to the symbiont: the reproductive system has evolved in response to the presence of this reproductive parasite (for example, by enhancing apoptosis in ovaries to compensate for bacterial dampening) and consequently removal disrupts normal ovarian development<sup>64</sup>. Support for this interpretation was provided by Starr and Cline65, who showed that Wolbachia can rescue ovarian defects of some mutant alleles in D. melanogaster. Because Wolbachia are selected to upregulate egg development, which enables their transfer to the next generation, mutations that affect oogenesis may be compensated for by the presence of Wolbachia.

It is expected that some *Wolbachia* which infect arthropods have evolved mutualistic relationships with their hosts. This would be consistent with their vertical transmission, which can often favour mutualism. For example, it has been found that *Wolbachia* infection provides protection against RNA viruses in the fruit fly *D. melanogaster* (L. Teixeira, personal communication). *Wolbachia* also have a diverse range of effects that may reflect sophisticated manipulations of the host, including *Wolbachia*-associated alterations in mating preference<sup>66</sup> and responses to olfactory cues<sup>67</sup>.

Wolbachia possess a number of interesting adaptations to navigate the eukaryotic cell. Recent cytological studies of Wolbachia in Drosophila revealed interactions between Wolbachia and the Drosophila cytoskeleton. Wolbachia co-opt host molecular motors to move within the host cell. During embryogenesis, Wolbachia are in tight association with centrosomes and centrosomeorganized microtubules. With each nuclear division,

## REVIEWS

roughly half of the *Wolbachia* segregate to each spindle pole, ensuring equal segregation of bacteria<sup>14</sup>. During oogenesis, *Wolbachia* often localize to specific regions of the germline, and this localization is dependent on both the molecular motors dynein and kinesin 1 (REFS 15,16). Host motor proteins might have a role in the expression of CI or other *Wolbachia*-induced phenotypes. For example, it has been shown that in the absence of *Wolbachia*, overexpression of myosin II results in paternal-effect defects that are similar to those observed during CI<sup>68</sup>.

Progress in revealing the mechanisms by which *Wolbachia* manipulate host cell biology and reproduction has been hindered by the fact that these bacteria cannot be grown outside of host cells (although they can be grown in insect cell culture<sup>69,70</sup>) and that a transformation system does not exist for *Wolbachia*. Investigations are underway to develop transformation in *Wolbachia*, which could greatly accelerate functional studies. The *Wolbachia* origin of replication has recently been identified, which might accelerate the development of transformation methods<sup>71</sup>.

#### Maintenance of the global Wolbachia pandemic

*Wolbachia* represent one of the great pandemics in the history of life, infecting at least 10<sup>6</sup> insect species alone. But how are *Wolbachia* infections maintained globally within invertebrates? Maintenance depends on the rates of acquisition and loss of infections within species relative to the horizontal transfer rates between species. In this way, a *Wolbachia* infection is like any other infection, except that the global arthropod community is the host. Therefore, standard epidemiological conditions apply: to maintain the infection, one successful transfer on average must occur during the course of a *Wolbachia* infection within a host species.

How do Wolbachia move between species? We do not fully understand this process, but recent studies have provided some hints. Wolbachia can be experimentally transfected between taxa by microinjection into eggs, which indicates that they can become established within cells of diverse arthropods. However, not all hosts are equally permissive and Wolbachia strains can differ in their ability to transfect different host species. Such effects need to be investigated systematically. Two recent findings are particularly relevant to the question of intertaxon transfer. Wolbachia, like all Rickettsiales, are obligatory intracellular bacteria, and it has been assumed that they cannot survive outside host cells. In tissue culture experiments, however, Wolbachia were shown to persist long after the host cells had died72. When purified from host cells, Wolbachia can remain viable for at least 1 week at room temperature73. In addition, Frydman et al.74 have shown that when Wolbachia are injected into the body of an adult D. melanogaster, they enter the ovary at the somatic stem-cell niche (that is, the microenvironment that supports the stem cells). From this niche, Wolbachia reach the somatic stem cells and the germline, and eventually enter developing eggs<sup>74</sup>. Therefore, it seems that some Wolbachia strains can briefly exist

outside of host cells and traverse cell membranes, which could be important for horizontal transfer within and between species. Studies of *Wolbachia* localization in developing oocytes indicate that some bacterial strains can efficiently localize to the germ pole of the egg, whereas others are widely distributed in the egg cytoplasm and the resulting soma of embryos, as well as at later stages<sup>75</sup>. The data suggest that *Wolbachia* might use two different mechanisms for vertical transmission: efficient localization to the germ pole and somatic distribution with subsequent migration to ovarian stem cells. Somatic distribution might also predispose some strains to more effective horizontal transmission within and between species.

Both the patterns of host distribution and experimental interspecific transfers of *Wolbachia* suggest strain biases in their ability to move between host species<sup>76,77</sup>. Similar phylogenetic constraints to interspecific host shift have been found in the male-killing bacteria *Spiroplasma*, in which the likelihood that bacteria will colonize novel species increases within a single host genus<sup>78</sup>.

Wolbachia often establish tight associations with mitochondrial genotypes within a species, which indicates strict vertical transmission. However, in other species, there is a lack of strong linkage disequilibrium between Wolbachia and mitochondrial genotypes, which suggests a role of horizontal transfer in intraspecific spreading of Wolbachia77. Studies of newly established Wolbachia infections that involve large taxonomical shifts could give interesting insights into the early evolution of endosymbioses. For example, how do Wolbachia genomes evolve following a large intertaxon shift (such as the shift that occurs between insect orders)? When distinct Wolbachia strains have similar phenotypes (for example, male killing), does this reflect independent evolution or the acquisition of male-killing machinery by recombination? The availability of MLST data should soon enable these and other crucial questions to be addressed by providing the first large-scale genetic framework for tracing the network of Wolbachia movements and analysing the association of Wolbachia genotypes with host taxonomy and phenotype.

Finally, an important unresolved question is: why do single Wolbachia strains not typically persist over long evolutionary timescales within the same arthropod taxon. This question is based on the observation that closely related host species do not typically show congruent phylogenies with their Wolbachia strains, which means that most Wolbachia infections do not survive after host speciation events<sup>11</sup>. It is presumed that selection for resistance in hosts eventually leads to loss of parasitic Wolbachia. However, resistance to the infection does not evolve easily in females because loss of a common CI-inducing Wolbachia infection would effectively sterilize the females owing to incompatibility with infected males. Presumably, loss of infection first requires the evolution of resistance in males, and then the development of resistance in females and eventual elimination of the infection. Losses may also occur when an established Wolbachia strain is displaced by another Wolbachia strain that is spreading throughout a population.



Figure 4 | **Wolbachia-to-host lateral gene transfer in Drosophila ananassae.** Almost the entire *Wolbachia* genome (green) has been transferred into the second chromosome of *D. ananassae* (blue). Following this lateral gene transfer, *D. ananassae* transposable elements (TEs) have become inserted within *Wolbachia* genes. At least 28 *Wolbachia* genes are transcribed from within the *D. ananassae* genome, although the functional significance of this is unknown.

#### **Evolutionary implications of Wolbachia**

Whether Wolbachia have an important role in accelerating the evolution of their hosts<sup>31</sup> is an important and controversial question. For example, induction of sperm-egg incompatibilities (CI) between diverging populations could drive the evolution of new species, and there is increasing empirical and theoretical evidence in support of this proposition. Theoretical studies indicate that bidirectional CI can enhance genetic divergence despite substantial gene flow, which causes the mutual stabilization of divergence in both locally selected genes and Wolbachia. Earlier empirical studies showed that bidirectional CI is a major contributor to reproductive incompatibility between sibling species of the insect Nasonia79. However, bidirectional CI is expected to be less common in nature, and the general thought was that unidirectional CI (which occurs, for example, when only one population is infected) is both unstable to migration between populations and insufficient to maintain genetic divergence. Recent studies dispute this view, however, and indicate that genetic divergence in infection status and locally selected alleles can be maintained when Wolbachia impart a fertility cost and/or are incompletely transmitted. In addition, Wolbachia that cause CI can readily select for pre-mating isolation, which reinforces genetic identity among populations<sup>80</sup>, as observed in natural populations of *D. subquinaria*<sup>81</sup>.

There is good evidence that parthenogenesis-inducing bacteria have led to the evolution of parthenogenetic insect species, based on the loss of functional sexuality when these insects are cured of their bacteria<sup>82</sup>. Another way in which *Wolbachia* accelerate host evolution is by causing the rapid evolution of host genes. In particular, elevated rates of evolution are expected in host genes that are expressed in the gonads where *Wolbachia* reside, which would affect their transmission and cellular effects. Support for this idea comes from the interaction between Wolbachia in mutant genotypes of *Drosophila*<sup>65,83</sup> and the presence of resistance to some Wolbachia infections<sup>56,59</sup>. One particularly exciting recent finding is that lateral gene transfer from Wolbachia into invertebrate genomes is common and widespread<sup>84-86</sup>. Approximately one-third of sequenced invertebrate genomes contain recent Wolbachia gene insertions, which range in size from short segments (<600 bp; for example, in Nasonia species) to nearly the entire Wolbachia genome (>1 Mb; in the tropical fruit fly Drosophila ananassae)85. Low levels of transcriptional activity have been found in some of the Wolbachia-inserted genes, whereas others are disrupted by transposons (FIG. 4). A key question is whether such Wolbachia insertions can result in the acquisition of novel gene functions. This has yet to be shown, but the high frequency of invertebrate species that are infected with these bacteria and the apparent common occurrence of lateral gene transfers suggest that at least some cases will result in new functional genes. Aside from the acquisition of new genes, the insertional events may contribute to host chromosomal rearrangements, which in turn may play a part in reproductive isolation.

#### Practical applications of Wolbachia

The possible implications and applications of *Wolbachia* to human disease and pest control have been extensively reviewed elsewhere<sup>5,87</sup>. Here, we highlight just a few key developments.

Wolbachia seem to have an important role in filarial pathogenicity, apparently owing to inflammatory responses of infected hosts to Wolbachia proteins<sup>88</sup>. This, combined with the dependence of nematodes on Wolbachia, has led to considerable interest in targeting these bacteria in new strategies to combat filariasis. Anti-Wolbachia therapies are promising for both eliminating filarial nematode infections as well as lessening the effects of infections, and recent studies have supported such therapies. Although short-term antibiotic administration is not sufficient to reduce nematode load<sup>89</sup>, combining treatment with traditional vermicides and anti-Wolbachia therapy is effective in improving treatment<sup>90–93</sup>. The Wolbachia genomes are now being used to identify possible targets for therapeutic agents.

The ability of *Wolbachia* that cause CI to increase in arthropod populations has generated interest in their use as a mechanism to drive desirable traits (for example, resistance to disease) into insect vector populations (reviewed in REF. 5). The use of *Wolbachia*infected males is also being developed as a mechanism to decrease pest populations by inducing elevated CI<sup>94-96</sup>, similar to the use of sterile male programmes to control pest insects. Other creative approaches are under consideration and development, such as using *Wolbachia* to shorten the lives of vectors in which the disease agent requires a long incubation time within the vector, such as Dengue fever in Aedes mosquitoes<sup>97</sup>. Several projects to use *Wolbachia* in disease or vector control are currently funded by the <u>Bill and Melinda</u> <u>Gates Foundation</u> (see Further information). Whether these methods will be successful remains to be seen, and there are a number of challenges to their development<sup>5</sup>. However, the prospects for using *Wolbachia* to reduce major diseases that affect millions of people show considerable promise.

#### Conclusions

*Wolbachia* are a diverse group of intracellular bacteria that show impressive adaptations towards living in invertebrate cells and in manipulating the biology of their hosts. Considerable progress has been made in the past 10 years to elucidate their biology. There has also been growth in the *Wolbachia* research community, particularly in the areas of genomics, cell biology and molecular biology. In addition, a number of new research tools are in place (for example, tissue culturing of *Wolbachia*, a multilocus strain-typing system and genome sequences). However, important questions still remain, including: how do *Wolbachia* manipulate host reproduction; how is the incredible abundance and distribution of *Wolbachia* maintained globally; can *Wolbachia* be effectively used in disease control; do *Wolbachia* have important roles in the evolution of their hosts; and, in particular, do *Wolbachia* accelerate the rates of speciation in invertebrates and contribute to novel gene acquisition. We are now poised for major breakthroughs that could answer these fundamental questions.

- 1. de Bary, A. *The Phenomenon of Symbiosis* (Karl J. Trubner, Strasbourg, 1879).
- Hentschel, U., Steinert, M. & Hacker, J. Common molecular mechanisms of symbiosis and pathogenesis. *Trends Microbiol.* 8, 226–231 (2000).
- Werren, J. H. in *Microbial Evolution: Concepts and Controversies* (ed. Sapp, J.) 290–316 (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2005).
- Werren, J. H. *et al.* Rickettsial relative associate with male-killing in the ladybird beetle (*Adalia bipunctata*). *J. Bacteriol.* **176**, 388–394 (1994).
- Bourtzis, K. Wolbachia-based technologies for insect pest population control. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 627, 104–113 (2008).
- Hertig, M. & Wolbach, S. B. Studies on *Rickettsia*-like microorganisms in insects. *J. Med. Res.* 44, 329–374 (1924).
- Casiraghi, M. et al. Phylogeny of Wolbachia pipientis based on gltA, groEL and ftsZ gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the Wolbachia tree. Microbiology 151, 4015–4022 (2005).
- Baldo, L. & Werren, J. H. Revisiting Wolbachia supergroup typing based on WSP: spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. *Curr. Microbiol.* 55, 81–87 (2007).
- Funk, D. J., Helbling, L., Wernegreen, J. J. & Moran, N. A. Intraspecific phylogenetic congruence among multiple symbiont genomes. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 267, 2517–2521 (2000).
- Verne, S., Johnson, M., Bouchon, D. & Grandjean, F. Evidence for recombination between feminizing *Wolbachia* in the isopod genus *Armadillidium. Gene* 397, 58–66 (2007).
- Baldo, L. *et al.* Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 7098–7110 (2006).
   Established a multigene standard for typing *Wolbachia* variants using a combination of different bacterial genes.
- Baldo, L., Bordenstein, S. R., Wernegreen, J. J. & Werren, J. H. Widespread recombination throughout Wolbachia genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 437–449 (2006).
- Hilgenboecker, K., Hammerstein, P., Schlattmann, P., Telschow, A. & Werren, J. H. How many species are infected with *Wolbachia*? — a statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 281, 215–220 (2008).
- Kose, H. & Karr, T. L. Organization of *Wolbachia* pipientis in the *Drosophila* fertilized egg and embryo revealed by an anti-*Wolbachia* monoclonal antibody. *Mech. Dev.* 51, 275–288 (1995).
   Ferree, P. M. *et al. Wolbachia* utilizes host
- Ferree, P. M. *et al. Wolbachia* utilizes host microtubules and dynein for anterior localization in the *Drosophila* oocyte. *PLoS Pathog.* 1, e14 (2005).
   Serbus L & Sullivan W. A cellular basis for *Wolbac*.
- Serbus, L. & Sullivan, W. A cellular basis for Wolbachia recruitment to the host germline. *PLoS Pathog.* 3, e190 (2007).
- Wu, M. *et al.* Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite *Wolbachia pipientis w*Mel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. *PLoS Biol.* 2, 327–341 (2004).
- Foster, J. et al. The Wolbachia genome of Brugia malayi: endosymbiont evolution within a human pathogenic nematode. PLoS Biol. 3, e121 (2005).

- Salzberg, S. L. et al. Serendipitous discovery of Wolbachia genomes in multiple Drosophila species Genome Biol. 6, R23 (2005).
- Tamas, I. *et al.* 50 million years of genomic stasis in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Science* 296, 2376–2379 (2002).
- Bordenstein, S. R., Marshall, M. L., Fry, A. J., Kim, U. & Wernegreen, J. J. The tripartite associations between bacteriophage, *Wolbachia*, and arthropods. *PloS Pathog.* 2, e43 (2006).
- Fenn, K. & Blaxter, M. Wolbachia genomes: revealing the biology of parasitism and mutualism. *Trends Parasitol.* 22, 60–65 (2006).
- Sinkins, S. P. et al. Wolbachia variability and host effects on crossing type in *Culex* mosquitoes. *Nature* 436, 256–260 (2005).
- Walker, T. *et al.* Ankyrin repeat domain-encoding genes in the wPip strain of Wolbachia from the Culex pipiens group. *BMC Biol.* 5, 39 (2007).
- Pan, X., Lührmann, A., Satoh, A., Laskowski-Arce, M. A. & Roy, C. R. Ankyrin repeat proteins comprise a diverse family of bacterial type IV effectors. *Science* 320, 1651–1654 (2008).
- Kramer, L. *et al.* Immune response to and tissue localization of the *Wolbachia* surface protein (WSP) in dogs with natural heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) infection. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **106**, 303–308 (2005).
- Bordenstein, S. Ř. & Wernegreen, J. J. Bacteriophage flux in endosymbionts (*Wolbachia*): infection frequency, lateral transfer, and recombination rates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21, 1981–1991 (2004).
- Baldo, L., Lo, N. & Werren, J. H. Mosaic nature of the Wolbachia surface protein. J. Bacteriol. 187, 5406–5418 (2005).
- Cooper, T. F. Recombination speeds adaptation by reducing competition between beneficial mutations in populations of *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Biol.* 5, e225 (2007).
- Zchori-Fein, E., Pelrlman, S. J., Kelly, S. E., Katzir, N. & Hunter, M. S. Characterization of a 'Bacteroidetes' symbiont in *Encarsia* wasps (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae): proposal of 'Candidatus Cardinium hertigii. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 961–968 (2004).
- Werren, J. H. Biology of Wolbachia. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 42, 587–609 (1997).
- Poinsot, D., Charlat, S. & Mercot, H. On the mechanism of *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility: confronting the models with the facts *Bioessays* 25, 259–265 (2003).
- Lassy, C. W. & Karr, T. L. Cytological analysis of fertilization and early embryonic development in incompatible crosses of *Drosophila simulans*. *Mech. Dev.* 57, 47–58 (1996).
- Tram, U. & Sullivan, W. Role of delayed nuclear envelope breakdown and mitosis in Wolbachiainduced cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Science* 296, 1124–1126 (2002).
- Reed, K. M. & Werren, J. H. Induction of paternal genome loss by the paternal-sex-ratio chromosome and cytoplasmic incompatibility bacteria (*Wolbachia*): a comparative study of early embryonic events. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 40, 408–418 (1995).
- Tram, U., Fredrick, K., Werren, J. H. & Sullivan, W. Paternal chromosome segregation during the first mitotic division determines cytoplasmic incompatibility

phenotype. J. Cell Sci. 119, 3655–3663 (2006).

- Zabalou, S. *et al*. Multiple rescue factors within a Wolbachia strain. *Genetics* 178, 2145–2160 (2008).
- Stouthamer, R., Luck, R. F. & Hamilton, W. D. Antibiotics cause parthenogenetic *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera/Trichogrammatidae) to revert to sex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 2424–2427 (1990). One of the first studies to establish the role of *Wolbachia* in the induction of parthenogenesis.
- Weeks, A. R. & Breeuwer, J. A. Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in a genus of phytophagous mites. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 268, 2245–2251 (2001).
- Arakaki, N., Miyoshi, T. & Noda, H. Wolbachiamediated parthenogenesis in the predatory thrips Franklinothrips vespiformis (Thysanoptera: Insecta). Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 268, 1011–1016 (2001).
- Pannebakker, B. A., Pijnacker, L. P., Zwaan, B. J. & Beukeboom, L. W. Cytology of Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in *Leptopilina clavipes* (Hymenoptera: Figitidae). *Genome* 47, 299–303 (2004).
- Stouthamer, R. & Kazmer, D. J. Cytogenetics of microbe associated parthenogenesis and its consequences for gene flow in *Trichogramma* wasps. *Heredit*, **73**, 317–327 (1994).
- Gottlieb, Y., Zchori-Fein, E., Werren, J. H. & Karr, T. L. Diploidy restoration in *Wolbachia*-infected *Muscidifurax uniraptor* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 81, 166–174 (2002).
- S. Inverteur. 1 (2002).
  Vandekerckhove, T. T. M. *et al.* Evolutionary trends in feminization and intersexuality in woodlice (Crustacea, Isopoda) infected with Wolbachia pipientis (α-Proteobacteria). Belg. J. Zool. 133, 61–69 (2003).
- Hiroki, M., Kato, Y., Kamito, T. & Miura, K. Feminization of genetic males by a symbiotic bacterium in a butterfly, *Eurema hecabe* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Naturwissenschaften* 89, 167–170 (2002).
- Negri, I., Pellecchia, M., Mazzoglio, P. J., Patetta, A. & Alma, A. Feminizing *Wolbachia* in *Zyginidia pullula* (Insecta, Hemiptera), a leafhopper with an XX/XO sex determination system. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 273, 2409–2416 (2008).
- Narita, S., Kageyama, D., Nomura, M. & Fukatsu, T. Unexpected mechanism of symbiont-induced reversal of insect sex: feminizing *Wolbachia* continuously acts on the butterfly *Eurema hecabe* during larval development. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **73**, 4332–4341 (2007).
- Werren, J. H. & Beukeboom, L. W. Sex determination, sex ratios and genetic conflict. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 29, 233–261 (1998).
- Fialho, R. F. & Stevens, L. Male-killing Wolbachia in a flour beetle. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 267, 1469–1473 (2000).
- Dyer, K. A. & Jaenike, J. Evolutionarily stable infection by a male-killing endosymbiont in *Drosophila innubila*: molecular evidence from the host and parasite genomes. *Cenetics* 168, 1443–1455 (2004).
- Jiggins, F. M., Hurst, G. D., Schulenburg, J. H. & Majerus, M. E. Two male-killing *Wolbachia* strains coexist within a population of the butterfly *Acraea encedon*. *Heredity* **86**, 161–166 (2001).
- Zeh, D. W., Zeh, J. A. & Bonilla, M. M. Wolbachia, sex ratio bias and apparent male killing in the harlequin beetle riding pseudoscorpion. *Heredity* 95, 41–49 (2005).

**O** FOCUS ON SYMBIOSIS

- Kageyama, D., Nishimura, G., Hoshizaki, S. & Ishikawa, Y. Feminizing Wolbachia in an insect, Ostrinia furnacalis (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Heredity 88, 444–449 (2002).
- 54. Kageyama, D. & Traut, W. Opposite sex-specific effects of Wolbachia and interference with the sex determination of its host Ostrinia scapulalis. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271, 251–258 (2004). Elucidated the complex effect of Wolbachia, which ultimately kills males via lethal feminization, in a lepdopterian host. In the absence of Wolbachia, female development is lethal.
- Charlat, S. *et al.* Male-killing bacteria trigger a cycle of increasing male fatigue and female promiscuity. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 273–277 (2007).
- Hornett, E. A. *et al.* Evolution of male-killer supression in a natural population. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e283 (2006).
- Sasaki, T. & İshikawa, H. Transinfection of Wolbachia in the mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella*, by embryonic microinjection. *Heredity* 85, 130–135 (2000).
- Hurst, G. D., Johnson, A. P., Schulenburg, J. H. & Fuyama, Y. Male-killing *Wolbachia* in *Drosophila*: a temperature-sensitive trait with a threshold bacterial density. *Genetics* **156**, 699–709 (2000).
- 59. Jaenike, J. Spontaneous emergence of a new *Wolbachia* phenotype. *Evolution* **61**, 2244–2252 (2007).
- Hornett, E. A. *et al.* You can't keep a good parasite down: evolution of a male-killer suppressor uncovers cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Evolution* 62, 1258–1263 (2008).
- Taylor, M. J. & Hoerauf, A. Wolbachia bacteria of filarial nematodes. *Parasitol. Today* 15, 437–442 (1999).
- Simon, F. et al. Immunopathology of Dirofilaria immitis infection. Vet. Res. Commun. 31, 161–171 (2007).
- Dedeine, F., Bouletreau, M. & Vavre, F. Wolbachia requirement for oogenesis: occurrence within the genus Asobara (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) and evidence for intraspecific variation in A. tabida. Heredity 95, 394–400 (2005).
   Pannebakker, B. A., Loppin, B., Elemans, C. P. H.,
- Pannebakker, B. A., Loppin, B., Elemans, C. P. H., Humblot, L. & Vavre, F. Parasitic inhibition of cell death facilitates symbiosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 213–215 (2007).
- Starr, D. J. & Cline, T. W. A host parasite interaction rescues *Drosophila* oogenesis defects. *Nature* 418, 76–79 (2002).
- Koukou, K. *et al.* Influence of antibiotic treatment and Wolbachia curing on sexual isolation among Drosophila melanogaster cage populations. Evolution 60, 87–96 (2006).
- Peng, Y., Nielsen, J. E., Cunningham, J. P. & McGraw, E. A. Wolbachia infection alters olfactory cued locomotion in *Drosophila*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 5943–3948 (2008).
- Clark, M. E., Heath, B. D., Anderson, C. L. & Karr, T. L. Induced paternal effects mimic cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila. Genetics* **173**, 727–734 (2006).
- O'Neill, S. L. et al. In vitro cultivation of Wolbachia pipientis in an Aedes albopictus cell line. Insect Mol. Biol. 6, 33–39 (1997).
- Dobson, S. L., Marsland, E. J., Veneti, Z., Bourtzis, K. & O'Neill, S. L. Characterization of *Wolbachia* host cell range via the *in vitro* establishment of

infections. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 656–660 (2002).

- Ioannidis, P. et al. New criteria for selecting the origin of DNA replication in Wolbachia and closely related bacteria. BMC Genomics 8, 182 (2007).
- Fallon, A. M. Cytological properties of an Aedes albopictus mosquito cell line infected with Wolbachia strain w AlbB. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim. 44, 1071–2690 (2008).
- Rasgon, J. L., Gamston, C. & Ren, X. Survival of Wolbachia pipientis in cell-free medium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 6934–6937 (2006).
- Frydman, H. M., Li, J. M., Robson, D. N. & Wieschaus, E. Somatic stem cell niche tropism in Wolbachia. Nature 441, 509–512 (2006).
- Veneti, Z., Clark, M. E., Karr, T. L., Savakis, C. & Bourtzis, K. Heads or tails: host–parasite interactions in the Drosophila–Wolbachia system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**, 5366–5372 (2004).
- Jiggins, F. M., Bentley, J. K., Majerus, M. E. & Hurst, G. D. Recent changes in phenotype and patterns of host specialization in *Wolbachia* bacteria. *Mol. Ecol.* 11, 1275–1283 (2002).
- Baldo, L. *et al.* Insight into the routes of *Wolbachia* invasion: high levels of horizontal transfer in the spider genus *Agelenopsis* revealed by *Wolbachia* strain and mitochondrial DNA diversity. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 557–569 (2008).
- Tinsley, M. C. & Majerus, E. N. Small steps or giant leaps for male-killers? Phylogenetic constraints to male-killer host shifts. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7, 238 (2007).
- Bordenstein, S. R., O'Hara, F. P. & Werren, J. H. Wolbachia-induced incompatibility precedes other hybrid incompatibilities in *Nasonia*. *Nature* 409, 707–710 (2001).
- Telschou, A., Hammerstein, P. & Werren, J. H. Wolbachia reinforcement and speciation. *Evolution* 59, 1607–1619 (2005).
- Jaenike, J., Dyer, K. A., Cornish, C. & Minhas, M. S. Asymmetrical reinforcement and *Wolbachia* infection in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Biol.* 4, 1852–1862 (2006).
- In Drosophila. PLoS Biol. 4, 1852–1862 (2006).
  Gottlieb, Y. & Zchori-Fein, E. Irreversible thelytokous reproduction in Muscidifurax uniraptor. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 100, 271–278 (2001).
- Clark, M. E., Anderson, C. L., Cande, J. & Karr, T. L. Widespread prevalence of *Wolbachia* in laboratory stocks and the implications for *Drosophila* research. *Genetics* **170**, 1667–1675 (2005).
- Kondo, N., Nikoh, N., Ijichi, N., Shimada, M. & Fukatsu, T. Genome fragment of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont transferred to X chromosome of host insect. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 14280–14285 (2002).
- Hotopp, J. C. *et al.* Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* 317, 1753–1756 (2007).
   Established that gene transfer from *Wolbachia* into the genomes of arthropod and nematode hosts is widespread.
- Nikoh, N. *et al. Wolbachia* genome integrated in an insect chromosome: evolution and fate of laterally transferred endosymbiont genes. *Genome Res.* 18, 272–280 (2008).
- Pfarr, K. M. & Hoerauf, A. M. Antibiotics which target the Wolbachia endosymbionts of filarial parasites: a new strategy for control of filariasis and amelioration of pathology. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 6, 203–210 (2006).

- Saint Andre, A. *et al.* The role of endosymbiotic *Wolbachia* bacteria in the pathogenesis of river blindness. *Science* 295, 1892–1895 (2002). Elucidated the role of *Wolbachia* in the pathogenesis of a filarial nematode infection, and found that the severity of river blindness is reduced in the absence of *Wolbachia*.
- Richards, F. O. *et al.* No depletion of *Wolbachia* from *Onchocerca volvulus* after a short course of rifampin and/or azithromycin. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **77**, 878–882 (2007).
- Debrah, A. Y. *et al.* Macrofilaricidal effect of 4 weeks of treatment with doxycycline on *Wuchereria bancrofti. Trop. Med. Int. Health* **12**, 1433–1441 (2007).
   Debrah, A. Y. *et al.* Doxycycline reduces plasma
- Debrah, A. Y. *et al.* Doxycycline reduces plasma VEGF-C/sVEGFR-3 and improves pathology in lymphatic filariasis. *PLoS Biol.* 2, e92 (2006).
- Turner, J. D. *et al.* A randomized, double-blind clinical trial of a 3-week course of doxycycline plus albendazole and ivermectin for the treatment of *Wuchereria bancrofti* infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 42, 1081–1089 (2006).
- Bazzocchi, C. et al. Combined ivermectin and doxycycline treatment has microfilaricidal and adulticidal activity against *Dirofilaria immitis* in experimentally infected dogs. Int. J. Parasitol. 21 Mar 2008 (doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.03.002).
- Xi, Z., Khoo, C. C. & Dobson, S. L. Wolbachia establishment and invasion in an Aedes aegypti laboratory population. Science **310**, 326–328 (2005).
- Zabalou, S. *et al. Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a means for insect pest population control. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 15042–15045 (2004).
- Dobson, S. L., Fox, C. W. & Jiggins, F. M. The effect of Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility on host population size in natural and manipulated systems. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 269, 437–445 (2002).
- Brownstein, J. S., Hett, E. & O'Neill, S. The potential of virulent *Wolbachia* to modulate disease transmission by insects. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 84, 24–29 (2003).
- Hotopy, J. C. D. *et al.* Comparative genomics of emerging human ehrlichiosis agents. *PLoS Genet.* 2, e21 (2006).
- Lo, N. *et al.* Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 654–657 (2007).

#### DATABASES

Entrez Genome Project: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> entrez/query.fcgi?db=genomeprj Brugia malayi | Drosophila ananassae | Drosophila

melanogaster | Drosophila simulans | Legionella pneumophila | Orientia tsutsugamushi | Rickettsia bellii

#### FURTHER INFORMATION

John H. Werren's homepage: <u>http://www.rochester.edu/</u> college/bio/labs/werrenlab/

Anti-Wolbachia Project: <u>http://www.a-wol.net/</u> Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation: <u>http://www.</u>

gatesfoundation.org/default.htm Wolbachia MLST databases: <u>http://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/</u>

ALL LINKS ARE ACTIVE IN THE ONLINE PDF